

PROFESSOR Jeremy Menchik  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 23  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 23  
 PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 100

STATISTICS REFLECT FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES

I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	1	8	13	EXCELLENT	4.545	0.596
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	6	15	2	EXCELLENT	3.826	0.576
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	1	7	14	1	EXCELLENT	3.652	0.647
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	18	0	0	0	0	5	EXCELLENT	5.000	0.000
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	23	0	0	0	0	0	EXCELLENT	N/A	N/A
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	0	0	0	0	5	18	EXCELLENT	4.783	0.422
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	1	0	0	1	7	13	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.598

II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	7	14	EXCELLENT	4.667	0.483
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	1	10	12	EXCELLENT	4.478	0.593
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	1	3	3	16	EXCELLENT	4.478	0.898
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	0	0	0	2	4	17	EXCELLENT	4.652	0.647
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	0	0	0	2	6	15	EXCELLENT	4.565	0.662
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	3	7	13	EXCELLENT	4.435	0.728
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	0	0	0	1	7	15	EXCELLENT	4.609	0.583
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	1	3	19	EXCELLENT	4.783	0.518
16. WERE THE GRADING CRITERIA CLEARLY STATED	POOR	1	0	0	2	4	16	EXCELLENT	4.636	0.658

PROFESSOR Jeremy Menchik

NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 23

NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 23

PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 100

STATISTICS REFLECT PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSES

## I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	4	35	57	EXCELLENT	4.545	0.596
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	26	65	9	EXCELLENT	3.826	0.576
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	4	30	61	4	EXCELLENT	3.652	0.647
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	78	0	0	0	0	22	EXCELLENT	5.000	0.000
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	100	0	0	0	0	0	EXCELLENT	N/A	N/A
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	0	0	0	0	22	78	EXCELLENT	4.783	0.422
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	4	0	0	4	30	57	EXCELLENT	4.571	0.598

## II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	0	30	61	EXCELLENT	4.667	0.483
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	4	43	52	EXCELLENT	4.478	0.593
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	4	13	13	70	EXCELLENT	4.478	0.898
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	0	0	0	9	17	74	EXCELLENT	4.652	0.647
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	0	0	0	9	26	65	EXCELLENT	4.565	0.662
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	0	0	13	30	57	EXCELLENT	4.435	0.728
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	0	0	0	4	30	65	EXCELLENT	4.609	0.583
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	0	0	4	13	83	EXCELLENT	4.783	0.518
16. WERE THE GRADING CRITERIA CLEARLY STATED	POOR	4	0	0	9	17	70	EXCELLENT	4.636	0.658