

PROFESSOR Jeremy Menchik  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 10  
 NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 10  
 PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 100

STATISTICS REFLECT FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES

I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	0	0	10	EXCELLENT	5.000	0.000
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	4	4	2	EXCELLENT	3.800	0.789
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	0	3	3	4	EXCELLENT	4.100	0.876
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	6	0	0	1	0	3	EXCELLENT	4.500	1.000
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	9	0	0	1	0	0	EXCELLENT	3.000	N/A
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	1	0	0	0	4	4	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.535
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	0	2	0	8	EXCELLENT	4.600	0.843

II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	1	0	9	EXCELLENT	4.800	0.632
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	1	2	7	EXCELLENT	4.600	0.699
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	1	1	8	EXCELLENT	4.700	0.675
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	1	1	0	1	2	5	EXCELLENT	4.111	1.364
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	1	0	1	0	4	4	EXCELLENT	4.222	0.972
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	1	0	0	4	5	EXCELLENT	4.200	1.229
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	1	0	0	1	2	6	EXCELLENT	4.556	0.726
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	1	0	1	0	8	EXCELLENT	4.400	1.350
16. WERE THE GRADING CRITERIA CLEARLY STATED	POOR	1	1	0	1	1	6	EXCELLENT	4.222	1.394

PROFESSOR Jeremy Menchik

NUMBER OF STUDENTS RESPONDING: 10

NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 10

PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS RESPONDING: 100

STATISTICS REFLECT PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSES

## I. SECTION A: COURSE EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
1. RELEVANCE OF ASSIGNED READINGS [(1) LOW TO (5) HIGH]	POOR	0	0	0	0	0	100	EXCELLENT	5.000	0.000
2. DIFFICULTY OF COURSE [(1) EASY TO (5) DIFFICULT]	POOR	0	0	0	40	40	20	EXCELLENT	3.800	0.789
3. WORKLOAD IN COURSE [(1) LIGHT TO (5) HEAVY]	POOR	0	0	0	30	30	40	EXCELLENT	4.100	0.876
4. OVERALL RATING OF DISCUSSION INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	60	0	0	10	0	30	EXCELLENT	4.500	1.000
5. OVERALL RATING OF LAB INSTRUCTOR (IF APPLICABLE)	POOR	90	0	0	10	0	0	EXCELLENT	3.000	N/A
6. USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENTS AND PAPERS	POOR	10	0	0	0	40	40	EXCELLENT	4.500	0.535
7. OVERALL COURSE RATING	POOR	0	0	0	20	0	80	EXCELLENT	4.600	0.843

## II. SECTION B: FACULTY EVALUATION

		NR	1	2	3	4	5		MEAN	ST DEV
8. EFFECTIVENESS IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS	POOR	0	0	0	10	0	90	EXCELLENT	4.800	0.632
9. ABILITY TO STIMULATE INTEREST IN SUBJECT	POOR	0	0	0	10	20	70	EXCELLENT	4.600	0.699
10. ENCOURAGEMENT OF CLASS PARTICIPATION	POOR	0	0	0	10	10	80	EXCELLENT	4.700	0.675
11. FAIRNESS IN GRADING	POOR	10	10	0	10	20	50	EXCELLENT	4.111	1.364
12. PROMPTNESS IN RETURNING ASSIGNMENTS	POOR	10	0	10	0	40	40	EXCELLENT	4.222	0.972
13. QUALITY OF FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS	POOR	0	10	0	0	40	50	EXCELLENT	4.200	1.229
14. AVAILABILITY OUTSIDE OF CLASS	POOR	10	0	0	10	20	60	EXCELLENT	4.556	0.726
15. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR	POOR	0	10	0	10	0	80	EXCELLENT	4.400	1.350
16. WERE THE GRADING CRITERIA CLEARLY STATED	POOR	10	10	0	10	10	60	EXCELLENT	4.222	1.394